The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 32

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| MAILED в | EFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES |
|--|---|
| JUL 3 0 2004 | |
| J.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES | Ex parte MUSTANSIR BANATWALA and |
| | JORGE CAMARGO |

Appeal No. 2004-0335 Application No. 08/781,696

ON BRIEF

Before HARKCOM, *Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge*, WILLIAM F. SMITH and NASE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

Per Curiam.

REMAND TO THE EXAMINER

The Office of the Group Director of Technology Center 2100 has requested that this application be remanded to the jurisdiction of the patent examiner so that the issues raised in this appeal can be reconsidered. Accordingly, we *remand*.

Appeal No. 2004-0335 Application No. 08/71,696

If reconsideration by the examiner does not promptly result in the withdrawal of all pending rejections, the examiner must return this application to the jurisdiction of the Board so that the appeal may be restored.

Administrative Patent Judge

REMANDED

Aug Varian

GARY/V. HARKCOM, Acting Chief
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT

WILLIAM F. SMITH

APPEALS AND

INTERFERENCES

JEFFREY NASE

clm

Appeal No. 2004-0335 Application No. 08/71,696

Hamilton, Brook, Smith & Reynolds, P.C. 530 Virginia Road P.O. Box 9133 Concord, MA 01742-9133